

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,079	04/22/2005	Jamila Najib	3665-129	9192
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 02/28/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			BARKER, MICHAEL P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS 02/28/2		02/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/520,079	NAJIB ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Michael P. Barker	1626		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 69-103 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 69-103 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen	at(s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 69-103 are pending and subject to a Restriction Requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Lack of Unity Requirement

A restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2, since

Claims 69-103 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13). PCT

Rule 13.1 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group

of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of
invention). Further, PCT Rule 13.2 states unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be

fulfilled only when there is a "technical relationship" among those inventions involving one or

more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1 (b), provides that "special technical features" mean those technical features, which, as a whole, define a contribution over the prior art.

Annex B, Part 1 (e), provides combinations of different categories of claims and states:

"The method for determining unity of invention under Rule 13 shall be construed as permitting, in particular, the inclusion of any one of the following combinations of claims of different categories in the same international application:

- (i) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claims for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for use of the said product, or
- (ii) in addition to an independent claim for a given process, an independent claim for an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process, or

Art Unit: 1626

(iii) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, and independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process,..."

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Due to numerous and widely divergent variables in the compounds of Formula (I), a precise listing of inventive groups cannot be made. The following groups are exemplary:

- Group I: Claims 69-76 and 78-103, (in part), drawn to Compounds and compositions of Formula (I), wherein X_6 is oxygen, X_2 is bound to carbon 3 of the propene chain, and the remaining substituents are as defined, as well as the methods of preparing and using these compounds and compositions.
- Group II: Claims 69-76; 78-90; and 92-103, (in part), drawn to Compounds of Formula (I), wherein X_6 is NH, NOH, or N-alkyloxy, X_2 is bound to carbon 3 of the propene chain, and the remaining substituents are as defined, as well as the methods of preparing and using these compounds and compositions.
- Group III: Claims 69-103, (in part), drawn to Compounds of Formula (I), wherein X₆ is oxygen, X₂ is <u>not</u> bound to carbon 3 of the propene chain, and the remaining substituents are as defined, as well as the methods of preparing and using these compounds and compositions.
- Group IV: Claims 69-90 and 92-103, (in part), drawn to Compounds of Formula (I), wherein X_6 is NH, NOH, or N-alkyloxy, X_2 is <u>not</u> bound to carbon 3 of the propene chain, and the remaining substituents are as defined, as well as the methods of preparing and using these compounds and compositions.

Group V: Claims 69-103 (in part) drawn to products of Formula (I), containing compounds not encompassed in **Groups I - IV**. If this group is elected, Applicant is requested to elect a single species for search purposes. This group is subject to further restriction, if elected.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,079 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. As stated above, this is not an exhaustive list, as it would be impossible to produce such a list under the time constraints due to the large volume of subject matter claimed in this application.

The structural moiety common to **Groups I-V** is

This technical
feature is common throughout each of the Claims. However, it is not a special technical feature,
because it fails to define a contribution over the prior art. U.S. Patent No. 5,326,670 discloses a
compound at column 3, line 41, which contains the same technical feature. Therefore, **Claims 69-103** are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept and there is a lack of unity
of invention. The variables vary extensively and when taken as a whole result in vastly different
compounds. Additionally, the vastness of the claimed subject matter and the complications in
understanding the claimed subject matter impose a serious burden on any examination of the
claimed subject matter.

Because the claims do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule

13.1 and lack the same or corresponding special technical features, the claims lack unity of
invention and should be limited to a product, a process for the manufacture of said product, or a
method of use.

Furthermore, with respect to **Groups I-V**, even if unity of invention under 37 CFR 1.475(a) is not lacking, under 37 CFR 1.475(b) a national stage application containing claims to

Art Unit: 1626

different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process.

Moreover, according to 37 CFR 1.475(c),

If an application contains claims to more or less that one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b), unity of invention might not be present. In the instant case the claims are drawn to more than one product, process, and method of use.

According to 37 CFR 1.475(e),

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim.

As a result, the claims lack unity of invention and Applicant is required to elect a single invention. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even if the restriction requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Barker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4341. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM- 5:00 PM. If attempts to

Application/Control Number: 10/520,079 Page 6

Art Unit: 1626

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (571) 272-0699. The unofficial fax phone for this group are (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is viable through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner, AU 1626 Technology Center 1600

Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 1626

Technology Center 1600